

3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 017227-0190 10/622,470 07/21/2003 Debbi Drane 4517 22428 03/24/2005 EXAMINER FOLEY AND LARDNER LI, BAO Q **SUITE 500** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1648

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,470	DRANE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bao Qun Li	1648
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
·		
Attachment(s)	🗖	(3 14-)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5

Application/Control Number: 10/622,470 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-43 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to an immunogenic composition comprising HCV core protein, classified in class 424, subclass 204.1.
 - II. Claims 17-34, drawn to a vaccine comprising HCV core protein and another HCV antigenic protein, classified in class 424, subclass 192.1.

If group II is elected a further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A). The additional antigen is a HCV non-structural protein.
- B). The additional antigen is a HCV E1 envelope protein.
- C). The additional antigen is a HCV E2 envelope protein.
- D). The additional antigen is a combination of non-structural and envelope proteins.
- III. Claims 35, 37-43, drawn to a method for inducing an immune response to HCV by using an composition comprising HCV core, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- IV. Claims 36 and 37-43, drawn to a method for inducing an immune response to HCV by using a composition comprising HCV core and other HCV antigen protein(s), classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the product of group I is different from product of group II in structure and function since the product of group I only comprises HCV core protein, whereas the product of group II comprises other HCV antigen protein(s) in addition to HCV core antigen. Furthermore, the unrelated is also shown that they require different searches and the search for group I cannot be used for determining the potentiality of group II since Group II comprises addition HCV antigen that group I does not contain.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/622,470

Art Unit: 1648

3. Inventions of groups A-C and D are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the product of group A is different from product of group B in structure and function, the product of group A is different from product of group C in structure and function, the product of group A is different from product of group D, the product of group B is different from product of group D in structure and function, the product of group B is different from product of group D in structure and function, the product of group C is different from product of group D in structure and function. Because different groups of inventions comprise structurally different products and they exhibit different immune responses. Furthermore, the search for group A cannot be used for determining potentiality of group B or group C or Group D or vice versa. The claimed inventions are patentably distinct each from other.

- 4. Inventions of groups III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the method of group III uses material different immunogenic composition for inducing immune response. Therefore, they have different modes of operations.
- 5. Inventions of group I and III or group II and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as a plasmid DNA vaccine composition rather than a protein antigen composition.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or search for group III does not need to search group IV vice versa. The restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 1. Applicants are reminded that in the Office Action the examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/622,470

Art Unit: 1648

a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

- 2. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1). phosphatidyl isositol, 2). Phosphatidyl glycerol, 3). Phosphatidyl acid and 4). cardiolipid.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 13 and 29 are generic.

8. This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: i). Diphosryl lipid A, and ii). monophosphoryl lipid A.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,470

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 15 and 32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Qun Li whose telephone number is 571-272-0904. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 3:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,470

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bao Qun Li

03/19/2005